St. Augustine of Canterbury Catholic High School - Pupil premium strategy statement 2018/19 including a review of 2017/18 spending

| 1. Summary information |                             |                                  |          |  |              |  |
|------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|----------|--|--------------|--|
| School                 | St. Augustine of Canterbury |                                  |          |  |              |  |
| Academic Year          | 2018-<br>2019               | Total PP budget                  | £271,450 | Date of most recent PP Review                  | January / 17 |  |
| Total number of pupils | 597*                        | Number of pupils eligible for PP | 281      | Date for next internal review of this strategy | October 2019 |  |

|        |  |  | L L                                    | -   |  |  |  |
|--------|--|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| 2. C   | urrent attainment  |  |  |   |  |  |  |
|        |  | Pupils eligible for PP                                     | Pupils NOT eligible for PP             | Pupils not eligible for PP (national average  |  |  |  |
| Progr  | ess 8 score average  | -0.94  | -0.36                                  | -0.03 (2017)  |  |  |  |
| Attain | ment 8 score average   | 33.42  | 46.5                                   | 46.6 (2017)   |  |  |  |
| 3. Ba  | arriers to future attainment (   | for pupils eligible for PP)                                |  |   |  |  |  |
| Acade  | emic barriers  |  |  |   |  |  |  |
| A.     | Inconsistent quality of teaching h   | nas had a significant effect on the a                      | attainment of disadvantaged pupils     |   |  |  |  |
| B.     | Inconsistent quality of teaching does not ensure that learning meets the needs of pupils and challenges them to achieve at high levels and so High prior attaining disadvantaged students perform significantly worse that all others nationally |  |  |   |  |  |  |
| C.     | Support to develop literacy and imporve reading had been inconsistent  |  |  |   |  |  |  |
| Additi | ional barriers (issues which a   | also require action outside sch                            | nool, such as low attendance ra        | tes)  |  |  |  |
| D.     | The low attendance and PA of c   | lisadvantaged students has a signif                        | icant negative impact on their ooutcon | nes.  |  |  |  |
| 4. De  | esired outcomes (desired ou  | tcomes and how they will be i                              | measured)                              | Success criteria  |  |  |  |
| Α.     | The progress 8 gap diminishes be   | P8 improves and the difference between national diminishes |  |   |  |  |  |
| B.     | The progress 8 score improves for HPA disadvantaged students against national  P8 improves and the difference between national diminishes  |  |  |   |  |  |  |
| C.     | The progress 8 gap diminishes in English between disadvantaged and other pupils nationally  The progress 8 difference diminishes to grade between disadvantaged and other  |  |  |   |  |  |  |
| D.     | Improved attendance of disadvandecreases   | taged students and the incidence of                        |  | The gap in attendance diminishes and PA rates improve to be more comparable to local figure |  |  |  |

| Planned expenditure   |  |  |  |   |            |                                       |  |
|---|--|--|--|---|------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| Academic yea  | Academic year 2018 - 2019  |  |  |   |            |                                       |  |
| Quality of tead   | Quality of teaching for all  |  |  |   |            |                                       |  |
| Desired outcome   | Chosen   | action /<br>ch   | What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?  | How will you ensure it is implemented well?   | Staff lead | When will you review implement ation? | Impact   |
| A The progress<br>8 gap<br>diminishes<br>between PP and<br>all other<br>students<br>nationally    | Consistenteaching, intervention Closer moderate Effective adata. Great quality assistantes | ment of staff. t approaches to learning and on programmes. onitoring of work. and timely use of ater scrutiny of surance and ent procedures. | Embed the common lesson format Use of FIRST strategy Research based approach to learning Standardisation and moderation during collaborative planning and subject networks Work with the LA and other external providers | Standardised assessments Rigorous Quality Assurance Question Level Analysis to support early intervention Staff and Student voice Lesson observation and drop ins           | СМс        | QA of T&L<br>weekly<br>Termly         | Dec 18 Pupil voice – pupils think lessons have improved and are familiar with the structure of the CLF |
| B The progress<br>8 score<br>improves for<br>HPA<br>disadvantaged<br>students against<br>national | meta cogr<br>of student<br>Use of the<br>learning a<br>Redeploye                           | ing to support the nitive development s. e A-B-Cs to capture nd thinking skills. ment of staff to isadvantaged                               | Development of Bloom's taxonomy and higher order questioning skills Assessment INSET to support the validity and reliability of data Clear roles and responsibilities for staff to respond to data analysis              | Learning Walks/ drop ins Peer observations Book reviews Work sampling QA of standardised assessments and the data they generate QA evidence Evidence of consistent approach | СМс        | QA of T&L<br>weekly<br>Termly         | Challenge<br>observed in<br>lessons.<br>INSET in<br>Dec focused<br>on<br>developing<br>challenge       |

| C Disadvantaged students achieve broadly in line with national and the gap diminishes  | Aspirational targets set Strategic approach to supporting disadvantaged students Effective use of data to support early intervention programme                  | Undertake a review including:  pupil voice  school, local and national best practice  rigorous scrutiny of data, including the link between targets set and outcomes  QA of T7L | INSET Learning walks/drop ins QA evidence Intervention programmes Robust PM targets | CMc<br>SLT                 | Termly   | Data T1 –<br>improved P8                              |
|--|---|---|---|----------------------------|--|---|
| i. Targeted s  | support   |   | Total bu  | dgeted cost                |  |   |
| Desired outcome  | Chosen action / approach  | What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?   | How will you ensure it is implemented well?   | Staff lead                 | When will you review implement ation?            |   |
| D Improved<br>attendance of<br>disadvantaged<br>pupils<br>Reduction in the<br>number of<br>disadvantaged<br>pupils who are<br>PA | Increased EWO provision<br>and early intervention<br>programme<br>Attendance action plan and<br>attendance officer<br>Pastoral managers for every<br>year group | Improve whole school attendance and punctuality and reduce PA   | Action plan implemented Weekly meetings KSCO/HOYS/Pastoral Managers/EWO             | JP and SS<br>EWO and<br>AO | Weekly and<br>Half termly<br>with EWS<br>support | Impact with individuals whose attendance is improving |
|  | 1   |   |   | dgeted cost                |  |   |

| Desired outcome   | Chosen action / approach   | What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?  | How will you ensure it is implemented well?   | Staff lead        | When will you review implement ation? | Impact  |
|---|--|--|---|-------------------|---------------------------------------|---|
| Improved<br>literacy<br>especially for<br>disadvantaged<br>pupils | Literacy coordinator to implement a whole school strategy to improve literacy especially reading | Poor literacy skills contribute significantly to the under attainment of disadvantaged students and are a barrier to accessing GCSE exams successfully | Action plan implemented QA of provision Rigorous review of pupil outcomes data Pupil voice                | Joanna<br>Stirrup | Half termly                           | Word of the<br>week and<br>other<br>strategies<br>now in place<br>routinely                 |
| Improved<br>attendance and<br>reduced PA<br>rates                 | KSCO role Pastoral Managers EWO Attendance Officer   | Improved capacity for pupil support, liaison with home, early intervention strategies and  | Action plan implemented QA Routine and rigorous review of data to drive targeted and timely interventions | JP/SS             | Weekly<br>Half termly                 | Improved<br>attendance<br>for key<br>individuals<br>Better use of<br>penalties<br>available |

| Previous Academ   | nic Voor                 | 2017 - 2018   |   |      |
|---|--------------------------|---|---|------|
|   |                          | 2017 - 2010   |   |      |
| i. Quality of tea   |                          | 1   |   | 1    |
| Desired outcome   | Chosen action / approach | <b>Estimated impact:</b> Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate. | Lessons learned (and whether you will continue with this approach)  | Cost |
| Significant improvements in the attitudes and behaviour of an identified cohort |                          | Disproportionate number of PP pupils received FTE and   | Positive discipline replaced  |      |
| Distribution of PP pupils in higher sets  |                          | Number of PP pupils in higher sets was still disproportionately low   | Check the proposed class sets before the timetables are finalised   |      |
| ii. Targeted sup  | port                     |   |   |      |
| Desired outcome   | Chosen action / approach | Estimated impact: Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate.        | Lessons learned (and whether you will continue with this approach)  | Cost |
| Improved attendance rates   |                          | PA did not reduce to in line with National  | FTE impact on the overall attendance figures and push pupil into PA |      |
| Improved<br>homework<br>completion  |                          | Data not collected  |   |      |

| iii. Other approaches                |                          |  |  |      |  |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|--|--|------|--|
| Desired outcome                      | Chosen action / approach | Estimated impact: Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate. | Lessons learned (and whether you will continue with this approach)   | Cost |  |
| Improved parental engagement         |                          | Data not collected   |  |      |  |
| PP pupils show increased self esteem |                          | 100% target for education, employment and training not met and some pupils were NEET                               | Collect more robust information from pupils to ensure that all have a destination before they leave school |      |  |

| 6. Additional detail   |
|--|
| In this section you can annex or refer to <b>additional</b> information which you have used to inform the statement above.     |
| The planned expenditure was not clearly linked to the desired outcomes or the chosen approach to deliver the desired outcomes. |
| A new financial strategy for the use of pupil premium funding is in place from September 2018.                                 |
|  |